



SouthDakota

Legislative  
Research  
Council

MINUTES  
Executive Board

---

Representative Dean Wink, Chair  
Senator Corey Brown, Vice Chair  
Representative Spencer Hawley, Ranking Minority Member

Third Meeting  
2015 Interim  
May 18, 2015

Room 413  
State Capitol Building  
Pierre, South Dakota

The third meeting of the Executive Board for the 2015 Interim was called to order by **Representative Dean Wink** at 10:05 a.m. (CDT) in room 413 of the State Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota.

A quorum was determined with the following members answering the roll call: Representative Dean Wink, Chair; Senator Corey Brown, Vice Chair; Representatives Jim Bolin, Brian Gosch (via telephone), Don Hagggar, Spencer Hawley, Roger Hunt, Timothy Johns, and Fred Romkema; Senators Gary Cammack, Phyllis Heineman, David Omdahl, Scott Parsley, Billie Sutton, and Jim White.

Staff members present included Jason Hancock, Director; Sue Cichos, Deputy Director; Doug Decker, Code Counsel; Annie Mehlhaff, Chief Analyst for Fiscal; David Ortbahn, Chief Analyst for Research; and Cindy Tryon, Senior Secretary.

*NOTE: For purpose of continuity, the following minutes are not necessarily in chronological order. Also, all referenced documents distributed at the meeting are attached to the original minutes on file in the Legislative Research Council office. This meeting was web cast live. The archived web cast is available at the LRC web site at <http://legis.sd.gov>.*

### Approval of Agenda

**SENATOR BROWN MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE HUNT, TO APPROVE THE AGENDA.** The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.

### Approval of Minutes

**REPRESENTATIVE HUNT MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROMKEMA, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE APRIL 27, 2015, EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING.** The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.

### LRC Management Reports

**Mr. Jason Hancock, Director**, reported on the issue of acquiring a budget system for the fiscal staff. Ms. Mehlhaff, Mr. Kumpf and Mr. Hancock travelled to Alaska to evaluate their legislative budget system. That same group will next travel to Idaho to examine that system. Both of these states have generously offered to share their budget systems with South Dakota at no cost. Discussions are underway with Kentucky and Maine, also, because they have systems that would be a good fit in terms of IT architecture. However, these states have not yet offered to provide us with copies of their systems free of charge.

Mr. Hancock shared that he will be out of the office the majority of the time between this meeting and the next Executive Board meeting on June 9 in Sioux Falls. Because of that, Ms. Sue Cichos, Deputy Director, will be making the preparations for that meeting.

**Ms. Sue Cichos, Deputy Director**, talked about the progress the IT staff are making including rewriting the voucher database to improve the reporting system. The staff continues to review the Board and Commissions database to ensure that all the information is current. The county name change from Shannon County to Oglala Lakota County went into effect May 1, and work was done to make sure all of the information on the LRC website, as well as in the code and administrative rules, was updated to meet that May 1 law change.

**Representative Jim Bolin** asked how much time was spent on making the updates regarding the county name change. Ms. Cichos responded that they did not track the time spent but that it was not extensive. She pointed out that Mr. Decker would better know how much time was spent on the changes to the code and administrative rules. As far as actual dollars spent, the signs on the affected Legislators' desks will have to be changed, but that cost will be minimal.

**Senator David Omdahl** asked about the total cost to the state and county regarding the name change. Ms. Cichos replied that most of the cost will be to the Executive Branch and LRC does not have that information at this time.

**Mr. Doug Decker, Code Counsel**, reported that in regard to the county name change, a word search was done to update the code and the regulations and took less than five hours of staff time.

**Senator Corey Brown** asked if further legislative action needs to be taken to approve the county name change in statutes and rules. Mr. Decker explained that as Code Counsel he has statutory authority to make these types of updates and corrections to code and regulations and no further action is required.

Mr. Decker continued his report stating that the two staff attorneys, Ms. Amanda Reiss and Ms. Roxanne Hammond, and he will be attending the State Bar Convention this summer. The Code Commission will be meeting during the convention to discuss the contract renewal with West Publishing for the codified laws and evaluate the size of the pocket parts in the code books and when new volumes will need to be reprinted. The Code Commission will also be looking at renumbering the rules of evidence to conform to the Federal numbering system for rules of evidence. There are a number of other continuing education meetings at the State Bar Convention that Ms. Hammond and Ms. Reiss will be attending while Mr. Decker is in the Code Commission meetings.

**Representative Spencer Hawley** wondered about the rewrite of the non-profit laws that was worked on last year and at that time the legislators were told that the rewrite would be looked at by the State Bar members. Representative Hawley asked if this is a topic scheduled for the State Bar Convention. Mr. Decker said that LRC worked with the Bar in rewriting that legislation during the 2014 interim and made the drafts available to the Bar but he does not know what the Bar has done with that information. Mr. Decker said that if this is discussed at the Bar Convention, he will be available to meet with them at that time.

**Mr. David Ortbahn, Chief Analyst for Research and Legal Services**, said that the research staff has been busy reviewing administrative rules for style and form and for legality, as well as preparing to staff the interim committees. Since the last Executive Board meeting, LRC has received one new initiated constitutional amendment and are in the process of reviewing that for style and form. This makes seven initiatives so far this interim. In addition to style and form, it is also our staff's responsibility to research and complete prison impact statements for those initiatives that include

penalties and there are five of those. Three of those impact statements are quite extensive. The Attorney General's Office puts together a short summary of each initiative that is used on the ballot. They have sixty days to complete each summary and the prison impact statements are attached to those summaries.

**Ms. Annie Mehlhaff, Chief Analyst for Fiscal Research and Budget Analysis**, reported that since the last Executive Board meeting, the fiscal staff has issued a revenue report that shows how revenues compare to the estimates made during session and April looked much better at \$4.7 million higher than the year-to-date estimate. The last monthly Medicaid report indicates that there are 118,794 Medicaid eligibles in South Dakota which is the highest number this state has ever seen. However, the expenditures are actually down which demonstrates that there are more people signing up for the program but fewer people are actually using it.

Ms. Mehlhaff added to the Director's report on the review of the Alaska legislative budget system. The system allows the legislature to create many different scenarios and track different budget items. LRC will also review the Idaho Legislative budget system to determine which features will provide our members with the best data.

Mr. Hancock added that part of what LRC gets with a new budget system is continuity and institutional memory of the information we have and where it is located. All of that data together in one place will make it easier to maintain going forward. These system evaluations need to be made now because a programmer will soon be hired to maintain this system and we need to be able to write the program into the job description and we need to know what skill sets are required.

**Senator Phyllis Heineman** asked the Director to give a brief description of what it would mean to an individual legislator for us to own our own budget system. Mr. Hancock explained that his experience with this exact issue came during his time as a budget analyst for the State of Idaho. This type of system gives both the legislator and the analyst a lot of tools when it comes to setting the budget. An independent budget system would mean that the appropriations committee would have its fingerprints on every single budget item and makes the legislature a source of authority as to what happened and why. It is no longer a matter of just taking the Executive Branch's budget and making some tweaks but the legislature actually creates the budget.

**Representative Fred Romkema** asked what the annual cost will be based on Alaska or Idaho costs for this type of budget system. Mr. Hancock said that the primary cost will be with hiring a programmer who will administer that system, other than that the cost should not have a significant impact.

**Representative Don Haggar** asked if these systems that are being considered are compatible with the current Executive Branch budget system. Ms. Mehlhaff responded to this question by saying that they currently get a data dump from the Executive Branch and we anticipate that data dump information will be compatible with any data system we choose. We do not foresee any problems with the actual data.

Mr. Hancock explained that in some states there is a lot of cooperation between the Executive Branch budget team and the Legislative Branch budget team and the information can be electronically dumped into the new system quite easily, and it is anticipated that cooperation will occur here. There will be some information that will have to be hand keyed and that will take some time but will be valuable once it is completed.

In response to a question from Senator Omdahl, Mr. Hancock pointed out some of the benefits to owning the budget system are better continuity, better institutional memory, and a better track record,

Also, the research tools for the staff and the legislators are greatly improved, and the system will provide a more accurate report from the different agencies.

**Representative Roger Hunt** remarked that the greatest benefit of owning this system is that the Board is doing everything it can to honor the proper government balance of powers. He added that he believes that LRC is doing exactly what was asked of them and he commended the staff for what they have accomplished thus far and encouraged them to continue full steam ahead.

### **Budget Subcommittee Report**

**MHEC Update – Senator Jim White**, Budget Subcommittee Chair, reported that the Budget Subcommittee had met and reviewed South Dakota's membership in the Midwestern Higher Education Compact (MHEC). On May 7, the subcommittee held a conference call with MHEC, Board of Regents, Code Counsel, and the Bureau of Administration. Senator White distributed a copy of the subcommittee's report which included their recommendation (**Document #1**).

***It is the recommendation of the Budget Subcommittee that the Legislative Research Council's Executive Board introduce legislation in the 2016 session to discontinue South Dakota's membership in the Midwestern Higher Education Compact.***

The subcommittee further recommended that, to fully fund the 2016 membership in MHEC, \$20,000 be taken from the \$95,000 budgeted for FY17 membership dues and that amount be added to the \$95,000 that was budgeted for FY16 membership dues, and use those dollars to pay the full \$115,000 membership dues for 2016. The remaining \$75,000 allocated for FY17 dues could revert back to the General Fund.

**Mr. Larry Isaak, President, Midwestern Higher Education Compact, Minneapolis**, distributed an *Overview of the Midwestern Higher Education Compact in South Dakota: History, Governance, and Services (Document #2)*. Mr. Isaak said that he would like South Dakota to remain a member of MHEC and that South Dakota is one of the states named in the original compact. According to Mr. Isaak, there is no other organization that offers the savings that MHEC offers to their members, and those savings cover the cost of the membership.

Mr. Isaak pointed out that MHEC is constantly changing and offering new programs to the members, such as the multi-state military cooperative that assists with credits for military experience. Mr. Isaak said that South Dakota is planning to take part in that program.

Senator Brown asked if Mr. Isaak is aware that South Dakota is self-insured. Mr. Isaak responded that he is aware of that but that could change and then South Dakota will again need MHEC to help keep insurance costs at a minimum.

Senator Brown wondered if the Legislature in any other state is fully responsible for paying the MHEC dues. Mr. Isaak replied that is not the case at this time and in most states, the appropriation for the dues falls under the Board of Regents.

**Senator Scott Parsley** asked about the athletic insurance program that MHEC is planning to offer in the near future. Mr. Isaak said that the athletic insurance program will be designed primarily for the smaller institutions and that it will be a very good program. The final cost for the program has not yet been determined.

Representative Bolin commented that this discussion has been going on for seven years and it is time to make a decision.

Mr. Isaak said that he sincerely hoped that South Dakota would continue to belong to MHEC and added that the MHEC benefits are not available from any other organization.

**A MOTION WAS MADE BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE BOLIN, TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE THAT THE LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH COUNCIL'S EXECUTIVE BOARD INTRODUCE LEGISLATION IN THE 2016 SESSION TO DISCONTINUE SOUTH DAKOTA'S MEMBERSHIP IN THE MIDWESTERN HIGHER EDUCATION COMPACT. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 13 voting AYE and 2 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Cammack, Heineman, Omdahl, White, Brown, and Wink. Those voting NAY: Parsley and Sutton.**

Representative Hawley commented that he would like South Dakota to continue to belong to MHEC but that the dues should be paid by the Executive Branch and that he hopes they will once again take on the responsibility for the cost of this membership.

### **Executive Board Appointments**

Mr. Hancock presented information regarding appointments to the Streamlined Sales Tax Governing Board (**Document #3**). The Executive Committee is considering the need for legislation this next session that will allow for more members to be appointed to this board. At this time, there are two members and two alternates appointed. The Executive Committee recommends reappointing Senator Deb Peters and Representative Justin Cronin, and Representative Ray Ring as an alternate. There is a vacancy for an alternate because of Senator Rave's resignation, and that position may be filled at a future date.

Mr. Hancock distributed a document listing the current members of MHEC (**Document #4**). There are vacancies for MHEC but the Executive Committee recommends not taking action on appointments at this time. Representative Wink added that there is no urgent time line for these appointments, and that this will be done at a future Executive Board meeting.

### **Technology Subcommittee Report**

Senator Phyllis Heineman, Chair of the Technology Subcommittee, asked **Mr. Brian DeBolt, Network Administrator**, to give an update on what has happened since the last Executive Board meeting. Mr. DeBolt, reported that he is working with Microsoft on becoming the LRC's e-mail provider, researching new domain names for the LRC website, and talking to CenturyLink, SDN, and AT&T for the internet service and firewalls. Mr. DeBolt said he is also working with Amazon to host and house the LRC website, adding that the November 1 timeline is achievable if internet service is completed by the end of June. If that is not accomplished, then the November 1 date will have to be moved back.

Senator Heineman continued the report saying that the new display board project for the House is on schedule and under budget. The money saved will be used to move a monitor from LCR 2 into 413 so that committee members will have better view of the presentations. Also, in 499 there is a screen but no permanent projector. A projector will be moved into room 499 to give the room better access to technology. The cost of these additional projects will be about \$3,000 and that money is in the budget but had not yet been assigned to these specific projects.

## 2015 Legislators Forum

Ms. Cichos distributed background information regarding the International Legislators Forum which is an annual meeting of legislators from Manitoba, Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota (**Document #5**). Ms. Cichos explained that there does not seem to be anything in statute or bylaws clarifying how the appointments to this organization are to be made. The Executive Committee discussed a motion to correct this oversight.

Representative Bolin and Senator Parsley both said that they have attended these meetings in the past and found them beneficial and that South Dakota should continue to take part. The next meeting is June 24-26 in Deadwood, SD.

**A MOTION WAS MADE BY SENATOR BROWN, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE HAWLEY, TO REQUEST THE PRESIDENT PRO TEM OF THE SENATE TO APPOINT FOUR SENATE MEMBERS TO THE LEGISLATORS FORUM, NO MORE THAN TWO FROM EACH POLITICAL PARTY AND ONE ALTERNATE SENATE MEMBER; AND THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE TO APPOINT FOUR HOUSE MEMBERS TO THE LEGISLATORS FORUM, NO MORE THAN TWO FROM EACH POLITICAL PARTY AND ONE ALTERNATE HOUSE MEMBER. MEMBERS WILL SERVE ONE YEAR TERMS BUT MAY BE REAPPOINTED. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 13 voting AYE and 2 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Cammack, Heineman, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink. Those voting NAY: Gosch and Omdahl.**

## Interim Committees

**Legislative Planning Committee** – Ms. Cichos distributed a handout explaining the history and makeup of the Legislative Planning Committee (**Document #6**).

**Representative Scott Munsterman, Committee Chair; Senator Mike Vehle, Committee Vice-Chair; and Representative Jacqueline Sly, Committee Member**, joined the Executive Board meeting via teleconference to discuss the topics the Legislative Planning Committee will study during the 2015 Interim. Representative Munsterman said that the committee's top priority is to evaluate the information gathered thus far, continue the study of postsecondary education in regard to workforce development, and then start on the healthcare topic. Senator Vehle added that in continuing this study, the committee will look at benchmarks and performance indicators to see where things stand.

Senator Heineman asked what the committee is looking at in regard to postsecondary education. Representative Munsterman said that they are researching technical schools as well as universities and their roles in preparing graduates to meet the workforce needs.

Representative Hawley pointed out that the Government Operations and Audit Committee (GOAC) is also looking at performance indicators for each department and asked if there is duplication between the two committees. Representative Munsterman explained that the Planning Committee examines policy issues while GOAC is looking at financial issues.

Representative Wink thanked the committee members for their update and asked that they move forward with their plans for the Legislative Planning Committee.

**Interim Committees Membership Assignments** – Representative Wink said that there had been no requests for a third interim study committee, so the two committees selected at the April Executive Board meeting will be the only 2015 interim study committees. Representative Wink had appointed members to an Ad Hoc Subcommittee to make a recommendation as to the membership for those

two study committees. Representative Romkema was appointed to serve as the Ad Hoc Subcommittee's chair and the other members appointed were Representatives Hawley and Johns, and Senators Omdahl, Sutton, and White. Documents listing the legislators who had shown interest in serving on the interim study committees were distributed (**Document #7**).

**Representative Fred Romkema** reported that the Subcommittee met (Representative Hawley and Senator Omdahl were excused) and set the criteria for making the committee selections. The subcommittee considered all the legislators who had shown an interest in serving on these two study committees and made the following recommendations:

- Suggested membership for the SDHSAA Interim Study are Senator Craig Tieszen, Chair; Representative Jim Bolin, Vice-Chair; and Senators Tidemann, Van Gerpen, and Bradford; and Representatives Rozum, Schoenfish, Gosch, Hunt, Langer and Bartling.
- Suggested membership for the County Government Interim Study are Representative Kristen Conzet, Chair; Senator Bob Ewing, Vice-Chair; Senators Peters, Vehle, and Peterson; and Representatives Duvall, Heinemann, Klumb, May, Schaefer, and Feickert.

**Representative Brian Gosch** asked if members who had shown an interest several months ago are still available to serve on the committees, adding that his schedule will no longer allow for him to take part in the SDHSAA Interim Study committee. Representative Gosch suggested that the recommended members be contacted before final action is taken to make these appointments.

It was the consensus of the committee that they wait until the June 9 Executive Board meeting to take final action on summer study committee membership appointments.

Senators Brown and Heineman pointed out that the recommended committee make-up is almost twice as many Representatives as Senators and asked that the make-up of past study committees be researched to see if that has been the practice in the past.

Senator Brown suggested narrowing the focus of the County Government study, as the committee's meeting time will be limited and they will not be able to study everything detailed in the current scope of the study adopted at the last Executive Board meeting.

Mr. Hancock said that LRC can assist with that by conducting a survey of the Executive Board members to determine their priorities for that study. Representative Wink and Senator Brown agreed that those survey results would be helpful in narrowing the scope.

**Mr. Bob Wilcox, Executive Director, SD Association of County Commissioners, Pierre**, was asked to comment on his thoughts regarding prioritization of issues for the interim study committee. Mr. Wilcox said that the top priority is the expenditures for law enforcement, legal fees for court appointed attorneys and prosecutors, court costs, and county jails. He said those expenditures are the biggest impact on the counties right now. The second priority should be to evaluate the fiscal impact on counties that have significant areas of property owned by the federal government or placed in trust. He added that the cooperation between the counties and other governmental entities dovetails with that second priority. And finally, Title 7 has not been reviewed for a long time and it would be good to include that in the study.

Senator Heineman said that an Issue Memorandum was started regarding county spending and asked if that had ever been finalized. **Mr. Fred Baatz, Principal Research Analyst**, said that it had been worked on but not completed and added that he will share that information with the interim study committee.

## Investment Council Subcommittee Report

Senator David Omdahl, Subcommittee Vice-Chair, reported that there have been two applications submitted for the vacancy on the Investment Council thus far. The deadline for submitting applications is May 25, so more applications could be received. The subcommittee will then review the applications and select the candidates that the full Executive Board will interview at the June 9 meeting in Sioux Falls.

### Interim Travel Policies

Director Hancock presented documents including the current out-of-state travel policy, the proposed out-of-state travel policy, and a proposal for legislator participation in non-legislative task forces/boards/commissions (**Document #8**). Mr. Hancock had worked with Representative Gosch to ensure that the new policy is consistent with HB1145 that passed during the 2015 Legislative Session. That bill shifts approval of travel to the presiding officers, while the Executive Board allocates the funding for travel. The Speaker of the House and the President Pro Tem of the Senate is each given a travel budget and by having the authority to approve travel requests allows them better control over those funds.

Representative Gosch said that the proposed travel policy comports with the original intent of the bill and stays consistent with this Executive Board's policies.

**A MOTION WAS MADE BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE BOLIN, TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED TRAVEL POLICY. The motion prevailed on a unanimous roll call vote with 15 voting AYE. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Johns, Romkema, Cammack, Heineman, Omdahl, Parsley, Sutton, White, Brown, and Wink.**

### 2015 Interim Remodeling Update

Director Hancock said that after further investigation, it was discovered that the heating and cooling system for the Legislative Research Council's offices is more antiquated than originally thought. Updating that system will be extensive and the staff will have to vacate the offices for at least five months, when the work is done. It is too late to accomplish the update this year but it will be looked at again next year to see if it can be done then.

Mr. Hancock said that although that work will need to be postponed, he does hope to have the work done on the new offices back in the current library area. Those offices will be the new home to the fiscal staff, allowing them to be closer to the Appropriations suite and meeting rooms.

### LRC Performance Review Template

The Performance Planning and Review (PPAR) document was distributed to all Board members (**Document #9**). Mr. Hancock said that a meeting was held with the Bureau of Human Resources to go over the PPAR system used by other state agencies. The supervisor completes part of the document and the employee completes the other part and then they meet to go over the responses.

The performance review was part of the Personnel Manual the Executive Board approved last September. This will be an annual review.

In response to a question from Representative Bolin, Mr. Hancock explained that all LRC employees are at-will employees and can be terminated at any time, so no probationary period is needed.

Senator White asked if the review includes requests for a higher salary. Mr. Hancock said that the employee can comment about salary when completing the review. Conducting a salary survey to determine where the South Dakota LRC staff fall in regard to similar entities is on the list of projects the Senior Management Staff has developed.

**Representative Timothy Johns** commented that he has conducted many performance reviews for his staff and the reviews are extremely beneficial.

### **Executive Session**

**SENATOR WHITE MOVED, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE ROMKEMA, THAT THE EXECUTIVE BOARD GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEMS RELATING TO PERSONNEL. The motion prevailed on a voice vote.**

The Executive Board went into Executive Session at 2:30 p.m.

**SENATOR BROWN MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR SUTTON, TO COME OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION. The motion prevailed on a voice vote.**

The Executive Board came out of Executive Session at 2:50 p.m. No action was taken.

### **Other Business**

Representative Haggar referred to an article from the Argus Leader website dated May 1, 2015, regarding a shortfall of nearly \$10 million in the healthcare risk pool for about 60 school districts. The risk pool is managed by the Associated School Boards of South Dakota (ASBSD). Representative Haggar asked that Mr. Wade Pogany be allowed to address the Executive Board regarding this issue.

**Mr. Wade Pogany, Executive Director, ASBSD**, said that this debt accumulated over several years of not collecting enough premiums from the schools to cover the cost of the claims. Over 260 different healthcare plans were covering the 60 entities to which the ASBSD offers health insurance. After hiring consultants to review the program, they have narrowed the number of plan options to 8 and are working with Wellmark starting on July 1, 2015. Last April, all the schools were informed of these changes.

Mr. Pogany said that they do have a good plan in place for moving forward and that plan includes debt reduction and it will take three to four years to eliminate the current debt. Mr. Matt Fleck is the current manager of the protective trust and has been with ASBSD for about 18 months. ASBSD's protective trust provides healthcare for 60 entities including three counties; workers compensation for 80 school districts; and property liability for 60 school districts.

Mr. Pogany said that an audit has been ordered and a private auditor has been hired. The audit will begin in August and is to be completed by the start of the next calendar year. This will be a comprehensive audit and will examine all funds, revenues, procedures, and checks and balances. Mr. Pogany added that they are very open and honest about this issue and are willing to visit with the legislature.

Representative Wink thanked Mr. Pogany for attending today's Board meeting.

**A MOTION WAS MADE BY REPRESENTATIVE GOSCH, SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE HAGGAR, TO RECOMMEND TO THE GOAC CHAIRS THAT DURING THE 2015 INTERIM THE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND AUDIT COMMITTEE CONSIDER THE ISSUE OF THE SHORTFALL IN THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS HEALTHCARE RISK POOL. The motion prevailed on a roll call vote with 12 voting AYE and 3 voting NAY. Those voting AYE: Bolin, Gosch, Haggar, Hawley, Hunt, Romkema, Cammack, Heineman, Omdahl, White, Brown, and Wink. Those voting NAY: Johns, Parsley, and Sutton.**

### **Adjourn**

**REPRESENTATIVE HUNT MOVED, SECONDED BY SENATOR HEINEMAN, THAT THE EXECUTIVE BOARD BE ADJOURNED. The motion prevailed unanimously on a voice vote.**

The Board adjourned at 3:35 p.m.

*All committee agendas and minutes are available on the LRC website: <http://legis.sd.gov/>. You may subscribe to electronic delivery of agendas and minutes at E-Subscribe on the LRC website.*