

Proposed Bills Not Enacted During the 2017 Legislative Session

Bill Number:	Summary:	Disposition:
HB1074	Would have limited a ballot question committee from a contribution originating from a person outside the state that exceeds \$100,000 in the aggregate during an election cycle.	Passed the House; Tabled by Senate committee on State Affairs
HB1130	<p>Would have required the secretary of state to accept written comments from registered South Dakota voters regarding any proposed ballot measure; comments to be published on the secretary's website;</p> <p>Would have required a public draft review hearing by the Executive Board for each proposed ballot measure;</p> <p>Would have required the secretary of state to provide public notice on the secretary's website of any new ballot measure whose petition was filed, including the full text of the measure, financial contributions received in support of or opposition to the measure; and any written comment posted on the secretary of state's website regarding the proposed measure.</p>	Passed the House; Failed on Senate floor
HB1153	<p>Would have required 50% of the signatures required for initiatives and referenda petitions to come from no fewer than 33 counties, with the remaining 50% to come from any of all remaining counties;</p> <p>Would have required each page of a petition to include the signatures that come from one individual county, with each page clearly marking the county from which that page's signatures come</p>	Deferred to 41 st Legislative Day by House State Affairs
HB1200	<p>Would have required ballot question committees that receive in excess of \$25,000 within a 12-month period from an organization or political action committee that is not otherwise required to file a campaign finance disclosure in South Dakota to file a supplemental disclosure within the ballot question committee's required campaign finance disclosure statement that includes the names and addresses of the 50 largest contributors to the contributing organization or political action committee that contributed in excess of \$25,000 to that ballot question committee;</p> <p>Would have required an organization or political action committee that is otherwise required to file a campaign finance disclosure in South Dakota to file a supplemental disclosure including the name and address of the 50 largest contributors to that organization or political action committee during the date preceding when the supplemental disclosure is filed;</p> <p>Would have provided certain exemptions for 501(c)(3) organizations, for-profit organizations, and contributors of less than \$5,000;</p> <p>Would have imposed civil penalties and a prohibition from making contributions to other ballot question committees or other independent communication expenditures for 5 years</p>	Passed the House; Deferred to 41 st Legislative Day in Senate Committee on Judiciary
SB67	Would have amended § 2-1-5 to provide that the total number of registered voters eligible to cast a ballot for Governor in the last preceding gubernatorial election be the basis for determining the number of petition signatures required.	Tabled by Senate Committee on State Affairs
SJR2	<p>Would have proposed a constitutional amendment requiring 2/3 vote of all members of each house for amendments proposed by the Legislature;</p> <p>Would have required 60% voter approval for any constitutional amendment submitted to the voters.</p>	Passed by the Senate; Tabled by House Committee on State Affairs