REPORT OF THE

GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

2016

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Senator Larry Tidemann, Chair
Representative Jean Hunhoff, Vice Chair

Representative Justin Cronin
Representative David Anderson
Representative Roger Hunt
Representative Julie Bartling

Senator Phyllis Heineman
Senator Deb Peters
Senator David Novstrup
Senator Billie Sutton

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Committee Responsibilities.....	1
Committee Activity…….....	2
Performance Reports……...	2
Specific Matters Pertaining to Various State Agencies….....	3
Technical Institutions……..……..	9
University Center Sioux Falls……..……..	11
Juvenile Corrections……..……..	11
Blue Book….....	12
Audit Reports...…….....	12

COMMITTEE RESPONSIBILITIES

The Government Operations and Audit Committee was established by South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) 2-6-2. The Committee is appointed at each regular session of the Legislature. The Committee consists of ten members, five members from the Senate appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, one of whom shall be a member of the Judiciary Committee and five members from the House appointed by the Speaker of the House, one of whom shall be a member of the Judiciary Committee.

The responsibilities of the Committee are:

- To inquire and review any phase of the operations and the fiscal affairs of any department, institution, board or agency of the state;

- To examine records and vouchers, summon witnesses, examine expenditures and the general management of departments, as deemed necessary;

- To review the Single Audit Report of the State of South Dakota and separately issued agency audit reports;

- To review the annual report of the South Dakota 911 Coordination Board and the Brand Board;

- To review the annual reports from each Department administering the funds received from the Building South Dakota Fund;

- To review compiled authorizations to derive a direct benefit from a contract, as collected by the Bureau of Human Resources;

- To review compiled authorizations to derive a direct benefit from an authority board, or commission contract, as collected by the Auditor General;

- To review the annual work plan and report of the State Board of Internal Control; and,

- To make a detailed report to the Senate and House of Representatives and submit a copy of its report to the Appropriations Committee of each House of the Legislature at the next succeeding session of the Legislature or any special session of the Legislature upon request of the body.

COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

Performance Reports

The Building South Dakota Funds (BSD)

Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED), provided an overview of the four programs under the GOED. The Commissioner of the GOED first explained the two primary purposes of the Economic Development Partnership Program (EDPP): 1) to help local economic development programs with training needs, and 2) to help local economic development programs recapitalize local revolving loan funds. The EDPP awarded nine grants during the fiscal year totaling $1,568,513. The projected number of jobs created was 196.

The Commissioner provided an overview of the Local Infrastructure Improvement Program (LIIP). The program provides grants to assist in funding the construction and reconstruction of infrastructure for the purpose of serving economic development projects. The LIIP awarded seven grants during the fiscal year totaling $2.6 million. The projected number of jobs created was 265.

The Commissioner provided an overview of the Reinvestment Payment Program (RPP). The program is available to assist companies in offsetting the upfront costs associated with relocating or expanding operations and/or upgrading equipment in South Dakota. This program allows for project owners to receive a reinvestment payment, not to exceed the sales and use tax paid on project costs, for new or expanded facilities with project costs in excess of $20 million, or for equipment upgrades with project costs in excess of $2 million. The RPP awarded two grants during the fiscal year totaling $3.6 million. The projected number of jobs created was 57.

The Commissioner provided an overview of the South Dakota Jobs Grant Program (JGP). The program is available to assist companies in offsetting the upfront costs associated with relocating or expanding operations and/or upgrading equipment in South Dakota. There were no JGP grants awarded during the fiscal year.

The Director of Finance for the Department of Education provided the Committee a report on the operations of the Workforce Education Fund (WEF). The dollars received from the BSD are first allocated to provide for the limited English proficiency (LEP) adjustment in the State-Aid to Education formula. For fiscal years 2014 through 2016, funds of up to $1.5 million remaining after the LEP adjustment is fully funded are to be used to fund new and existing secondary career and technical education (CTE) programs. During the 2016 legislative session, this amount was increased to $2.5 million beginning in fiscal year 2017, and a provision was added that up to $250,000 may be distributed to private, non-profit entities that specialize in CTE. The grants are referred to as Workforce Education Grants. In fiscal year 2016, there were nine grants awarded to South Dakota school districts, totaling $800,000.

The Executive Director of the South Dakota Housing Development Authority (SDHDA), was present to address the Committee regarding the South Dakota Housing Opportunity Fund (HOF). The SDHDA distributes HOF funds geographically throughout the State with 30% of the funds targeted for Sioux Falls and Rapid City and 70% of the funds targeted for the rest of the State. The HOF awarded 20 projects during the fiscal year totaling $2.6 million that will benefit 393 families.

Brand Board

The Director of the South Dakota Brand Board was present to provide the Committee the State Brand Board Annual Report and answer Committee questions. She reported that the Brand Board receives no General Fund appropriations and operates entirely on brand inspection fees, brand transfers, and renewal fees.

The annual report contained information on the number of livestock inspected during the calendar year, the fees collected, the number of holds, missing or stolen livestock, recovered strays, livestock investigations, and brand registration activity. The Director reported that 1,581,415 head of livestock were inspected in calendar year 2015, as compared to 1,503,607 inspected in calendar year 2014.

Specific Matters Pertaining to Various State Agencies

Department of Social Services

Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)

The Deputy Secretary of the Department of Social Services (DSS) provided an update on the status of the MMIS upgrade process. She stated that DSS would be implementing a modular approach for the remaining portions of the project; and that this approach would mean more than one vendor would work on completing the project. She explained each of four phases associated with the project and the anticipated timeline for completion of each phase. She explained phases one and two involve provider enrollment and credentialing, pharmacy point of sales, and data analytics and are expected to be completed by September 2017. She explained phases three and four involve core claims processing, data warehousing, and the web portal and the completion date for these phases is yet to be determined.

The Committee asked about the dollars that were still available from the original budget for the project. The Deputy Department Secretary stated that $11 million remains from the original $76 million budget. She added that the new go-forward costs are not yet known. DSS will explore options based on systems already in use in other states. She added that the Bureau of Information and Telecommunications will be assisting in finding technology that will work the best for South Dakota.

Licensing Outside Juvenile Placement Facilities

The DSS Director of the Division of Child Protection Services explained the licensing process for group and residential child placement facilities in South Dakota. She explained that part of the annual license renewal process included an annual survey of each staff member and resident of the facility. Answers from these surveys are reviewed by DSS staff prior to the licensing visit to the facility. She stated that DSS has the ability to follow-up with the residents and staff on any concerns raised in the surveys. The program specialists that perform the licensing reviews complete a written report, which may include noncompliance issues with a timeframe for corrections. She concluded that a license review can result in a license being renewed, suspended, or denied.

Human Services Center

The Committee, on two occasions, reviewed various activity of the Human Services Center (HSC) in Yankton. At the May 2016 meeting, the Committee inquired about the closure of the Adolescent Inpatient Program. The Secretary of the DSS informed the Committee that there had been an ongoing decline in referrals for inpatient chemical dependency services for adolescent care. She stated this has resulted in more capacity than is required to meet the need and the DSS announced the closure of the program in April 2016.

At the October 2016 meeting, the Committee met the new Administrator of the HSC. The Administrator informed the Committee of the challenges facing the HSC in attracting and retaining employees. He explained the 2016 HSC staff engagement survey that was done to measure the level of employee engagement overall and by work unit as well as to identify the work related factors that are impacting employee engagement. He outlined key initiatives that are in place to better engage existing employees and to attract and hire new employees. The Committee requested information about how the HSC was performing as compared to other State Psychiatric Hospitals and learned that HSC was doing well in various categories.

<u>Department of Human Services</u>

South Dakota Developmental Center (SDDC)

The Committee toured the SDDC in Redfield. The Committee asked SDDC employees questions about the facility and the operations of the SDDC. There were concerns raised about low compensation and poor communication between staff and management. Recruiting staff to support the number of residents has been a challenge. The SDDC has reduced the number of available beds and is attempting to right-size with the current number of staff to be able to better meet the needs of the residents. The Committee learned that community based support systems are still not able to receive certain individuals with needs, so relocation to the communities continues to be a challenge. The Committee listened to various concerns from a former employee of

the SDDC. As a result of concerns raised, the Committee passed a motion to send a letter to the Department of Human Services requesting an anonymous survey of current SDDC employees be conducted with the focus of the questions on employee satisfaction, employee safety, and resident safety. The Committee requested the following additional information:

- What national benchmarks are in place for similar facilities in other states?
- Prior accreditation/licensing reports.
- Staff and patient injury reports.
- What efforts have been made to recruit new employees?
- What changes has management made in the last six months to improve staff and resident safety and employee morale?

Not all of the information has been received by the Committee as of the date of this report. The Committee plans to continue to monitor the operations of the SDDC.

LifeScape

The Committee heard from the Secretary of the Department of Human Services (DHS) about the results of the LifeScape investigation completed by the DHS Division of Developmental Disabilities. She explained that as a result of the investigation, LifeScape was placed on probation. Since the results of the investigation were released, LifeScape has prepared a corrective action plan and is being proactive in addressing the findings from the investigation. She concluded by stating the abuse and neglect appeared to be an isolated incident and not systemic to the organization.

<u>Bureau of Human Resources</u>

Conflicts of Interest

The Committee reviewed the first annual compilation of conflict of interest authorizations called for in House Bill (HB) 1064, passed during the 2015 Legislative Session. Under HB 1064 a governing body may authorize an officer or employee of a state agency to benefit from a contract if the contract is fair, reasonable, and not contrary to the public interest; these authorizations are required to be filed with the Commissioner of the Bureau of Human Resources (BHR) and presented to the Committee annually. The Committee reviewed 19 approved authorizations (waivers) for the July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016 reporting period. The Committee learned that three waiver requests were denied. The Committee asked that future reports include those waivers that were denied by the various governing bodies. The Committee plans to review approved and denied waivers annually.

The Attorney General discussed civil and criminal implications associated with the new conflicts of interest legislation. He plans to propose legislation during the 2017 session to deal with three components of the conflicts of interest statutes:

1. Create criminal violations for all known direct conflicts of interests.
2. Reporting theft, fraud or direct criminal violations to the Office of the Attorney General.
3. Enforcement component to match the tiered felony theft statutes for violations involving positions of trust with taxpayers' money.

State Health Plan

Sanford Health announced in August 2016 that it would leave both Avera Dakotacare and Avera Health medical plans on January 1, 2017. The Commissioner of the BHR informed the Committee that the State has amended its agreement with Dakotacare to allow the State to negotiate a separate contract with Sanford Health. The Commissioner announced on October 24, 2016 the State Health Plan had reached an agreement with Sanford Health to maintain in-network access for its members to all current physicians and services. The Committee may revisit this topic in the future.

Bureau of Administration

Captive Insurance Companies

The Department of Legislative Audit presented the calendar year 2015 audit reports for the two captive insurance companies, established during the 2015 Legislative session. The two captive insurance companies are the:

- South Dakota Authority Captive Insurance Company, LLC (Authority Captive) – established to cover some of the liability risks of the six Authorities in the State of South Dakota.

- South Dakota Property and Casualty Captive Insurance Company, LLC (P&C Captive) – established to provide property, business income, and extra expense coverage on the State's buildings.

The Authority Captive and P&C Captive began providing coverage on September 1, 2015 and October 1, 2015, respectively. Each captive insurance company is governed by a Board of Managers and the Office of Risk Management serves as the manager. There were no audit findings identified and the Auditor General issued unmodified opinions on the fair presentation of the financial statements. The Committee will review the annual reports of the captive insurance companies on an annual basis.

Obligation Recovery Center

The Bureau of Administration (BOA) appeared before the Committee on two occasions to provide updates on the status of the Obligation Recovery Center (ORC), as called for in House Bill (HB) 1228, 2015 Session. The Commissioner of the BOA reported that the following agencies have entered into memorandums of understanding (MOU) with the ORC:

- Unified Judicial System
- Department of Corrections
- Secretary of State
- Department of Game, Fish and Parks
- Department of Agriculture
- Department of Human Services
- Department of Transportation
- Department of Revenue
- Board of Regents (under revision as of 10/13/16)

The Commissioner reported that the ORC began actively collecting debts on July 15, 2016. As of September 30, 2016, there have been 26,563 accounts referred to the ORC, with a value of $19 million, and $200,815 has been collected. The Committee plans to review the final MOU between the ORC and the Board of Regents at a future meeting.

State Board of Internal Control

The Commissioner of the Bureau of Finance and Management (BFM) provided the Committee an update on the activity of the State Board of Internal Control. He was pleased with the results of meetings conducted so far. Each State agency has assigned an internal control officer to attend and participate in the Board meetings. Subgroups have been identified to work on specific topics of concern identified by the Board. The Auditor General has participated as an advisor at each of the meetings. He is not a voting member of the Board. The Board is considering the use of an outside consultant to help develop internal controls and the BFM would be the first agency to go through this process. The Committee will monitor the State Board of Internal Control's activity on an annual basis.

Department of Transportation

Senate Bill 1, 2015 Session

The Department of Transportation (DOT) and the Department of Revenue (DOR) were asked to appear before the Committee to provide an update on the collection and use of additional taxes and fees raised by Senate Bill 1, 2015 Session.

The Director of the Division of Motor Vehicles, DOR, testified as to the revenue impacts of Senate Bill 1. The Director explained the following additional tax revenue that has been collected when comparing fiscal year 2016 to fiscal year 2015:

- Motor vehicle excise tax $21.8 million
- Motor fuel excise tax $38.1 million
- Licensing and registration fees $14 million

- Wheel tax $2.8 million

The Secretary of the DOT explained two components of Senate Bill 1 that have impacted the DOT. The first is the creation of the Big Fund or the Local Bridge Improvement Grant Fund. The second component is the ongoing and future construction program. He stated that the counties collect the vehicle registration fees and keep 41.75% and the remainder is sent to the DOR. DOR transfers $7 million to the Local Bridge Improvement Grant Fund and the remainder goes to the counties based on a statutory formula. In addition to the $7 million, the Secretary stated that the Transportation Commission has pledged $2 million from the State Highway Fund and $6 million from Federal funds, for a total of $15 million per year, to the Local Bridge Improvement Grant Fund. He added that as the bridge projects, which are currently in the State Transportation Improvement Plan, are completed the $6 million from Federal funds will then be used for state highway projects. In approximately four years, the $15 million designated for annual bridge projects will be funded by $7 million from vehicle registration fees and $8 million from the State Highway Fund.

The Committee asked how the DOT will know the additional funding is making a difference. The Secretary explained that each year the DOT will prepare and present a report of the current conditions of the bridges and pavements in the state. He added that the additional funding is making a difference. The Committee may revisit this topic in the future.

Operations of the State owned rail line

The Committee was concerned that contracts involving State owned rail lines were being properly upheld. On two occasions the Committee heard testimony from the parties involved with those contracts. The Secretary of the DOT provided the Committee with information about the lease agreement between the South Dakota State Railroad Board and the Mitchell-Rapid City (MRC) Regional Rail Authority. The MRC Regional Rail Authority has entered into a sublease with Dakota Southern Railway Company (DSRC) to operate the line. According to the lease agreement, the operator is to maintain the rail line, three miles west of Mitchell to Chamberlain, at a class two standard. This means the line is capable of handling rail traffic speeds up to 25 miles-per-hour. As a result of State inspections of the line and concerns about poor maintenance, the South Dakota Rail Board with the DSRC developed a plan to fix the deficiencies in 90 days. The South Dakota Rail Board also asked for a long-term plan from the operator to keep the line in the same condition that it was in at the time it was leased to the operator. At the October meeting the Secretary explained that most of the rail line maintenance items have been completed. The Committee plans to revisit this topic in the future if necessary.

<u>Department of Revenue</u>

The Committee received an update from the Department of Revenue (DOR) on the implementation of House Bill 1001, 2015 Session, pertaining to the direct shipment of

wine to South Dakota. The DOR has developed an electronic return filing and tax payment system for wineries and carriers. Key points of this legislation are:

- Requires wineries and common carriers to annually obtain a $100 license.
- Allows eligible wineries to ship directly to consumers in South Dakota for personal use only.
- Age verification is required at the time of order and again at the time of delivery.
- Limits each wine recipient to 12 cases annually.

The DOR explained that to qualify for a license the winery must be located in the United States; the winery must produce their own wine and hold a Federal Basic Wine Manufacturing Permit. During the first two quarters of 2016 there have been 275 direct shipper licenses issued, $11,221 in alcohol tax collected, and $27,565 of state and municipal sales taxes collected. There have been some minor compliance issues with the wineries that the DOR is working through.

Committee Letter to Boards and Commissions

The Committee sent out a letter of intent to local service agencies, school boards, education cooperatives, and education service agencies to help them implement House Bill (HB) 1214, 2016 Session. The new law attempts to regulate conflicts of interest and the Committee has the responsibility to annually review conflict waivers approved by the various Boards and Commissions. The Committee emphasized the key to the new law is transparency, if a person believes they may have a conflict it is their responsibility to bring it forward.

Under HB 1214, effective July 1, 2016, conflict of interest waivers approved by the various local service agencies, school boards, education cooperatives, and education service agencies are to be filed with the Auditor General. The Auditor General has begun receiving conflict waivers and discussed with the Committee the best way to report the conflict waivers filed with him. The Auditor General stated, prior to the filing date for the first required annual report, he would present an example report for the Committee to look and decide how much detail they would like to see. He also plans to add this new legislation to the statutory compliance audit checklist provided by the Department of Legislative Audit to independent public accounting firms performing audits of these types of entities, to use in completing their audits. The Committee asked the Auditor General if the conflict of interest legislation was making a difference. The Auditor General believed it was making people ask and answer questions that should be asked, which is a good exercise. The Committee will review this topic on an annual basis.

Technical Institutions

The Committee invited the Presidents of the four Technical Institutions to discuss the economic conditions facing the schools, student enrollment, programs being offered, and salary enhancements offered to employees. The Director for Career and Technical Education, with the Department of Education provided a system wide overview. She

informed the Committee that the South Dakota two year college system was rated top in the country for educating students, student outcomes, and placement rates by Wallet Hub. She explained the additional funds provided by HB 1182, 2016 session, has reset pay scales to be more in-line with industry. She informed the Committee there are currently 130 program options for students and each year 2,500 graduates come out of the system. The Director and each President thanked the Legislature for providing this additional funding, which will help recruit and retain instructors.

Lake Area Technical Institute (LATI)

The President of Lake Area Technical Institute explained LATI major initiatives:

- Redefining success from traditional graduation to placement. Since this change LATI has seen the retention rate for all students move from 80% to above 83%.
- The Culture of Caring initiative is the key piece in overall student success to help students feel safe and secure.
- The Learn Where You Earn initiative matches students with industry partners.

Southeast Technical Institute (SETI)

The Vice-President of Southeast Technical Institute explained the four pillars in their strategic plan:

- Institutional leadership
- Marketing and retention
- Program partnerships
- Resource sustainability

He was encouraged that this year's enrollment counts were moving up slightly. He stated a new President has been hired and will start in September 2016.

Mitchell Technical Institute (MTI)

The President announced that MTI was named the top on-line two year college in the country by BestColleges.com. He stated the additional funding provided by HB 1182 has been life changing to many individuals at MTI. He explained the key initiatives at MTI:

- Center for Student Success
- Registered apprenticeship program

Western Dakota Technical Institute (WDTI)

The President of Western Dakota Technical Institute explained the development of a new strategic plan. The new plan focuses on three areas: 1) students, 2) faculty and staff, and 3) community. She stated that in the past teachers were provided enough

time to complete assessments of student outcomes. Now there is an expectation that faculty will complete various assessments as part of their work day in hopes that this will lead to improved student performance. She concluded by describing several new buildings that will be opening on campus including a diesel technology building, an emergency room simulation center, a public safety building, and an event center.

University Center Sioux Falls

The Executive Director of the University Center in Sioux Falls (UC) appeared before the Committee to provide an update on the operations of the UC. He informed the Committee that in March 2016 the Board of Regents approved a memorandum of understanding between Dakota State University, South Dakota State University, and the University of South Dakota (USD) to revise the governance model and the operations model at the UC. That model put USD as the lead institution for the UC. He explained that a UC Coordinating Group has been created which includes members from the three universities. Declining enrollment is the critical issue. Two factors that are effecting enrollment are: 1) the increased availability of on-line programs, and 2) the strong job market in the Sioux Falls metro area. The declining enrollments have led to budget shortfalls, which the partnering universities have had to absorb. The UC is attempting to develop a community college atmosphere along with two year associate degrees which will be transferrable to other universities to complete a four or five year degree. The Director stated the UC is at a point where there needs to be dialog between the Regents and community leaders as to what the revised mission of the UC should be. The Committee plans to follow up on the mission of the UC and whether or not the UC is self-supporting, as was originally intended.

Juvenile Corrections

The Committee is charged with the responsibility to review any findings of abuse or neglect of juveniles in a juvenile correctional facility.

The Committee received the last semi-annual report from the Juvenile Corrections Monitor (JCM) as required by state law. This report details complaints received at the state owned juvenile corrections facilities. An attorney from the Department of Human Services (DHS) informed the Committee that the Star Academy was closed on April 8, 2016 and that was the final date of employment for the JCM. The Committee asked about the law that requires the JCM position to be in place for the state run juvenile facility. The DHS will develop a clean-up bill to propose to the Legislature.

The Committee reviewed the report entitled Allegations of Abuse and Neglect in Private Contracted Facilities and discussed policies and procedures with the Department of Corrections. The Committee requested and has been receiving these reports on a semi-annual basis like the Juvenile Corrections Monitor Report. Committee discussion centered on policies and procedures and corrective action taken by the Department to address any problems/issues identified.

Blue Book

The Government Operations and Audit Committee requested that the Department of Legislative Audit update the "Blue Book" (Other Fund Information by Agency) with current June 30, 2016 trial balances for all other funds of the state. While this does not identify funds available for appropriation, the information is useful for identifying funds that warrant a closer review. For selected funds, the Committee requested that the agencies provide information on the sources and uses of other funds and the purpose for the other funds. The Committee selected the following agencies to review at their November 22, 2016 meeting:

Department of Game, Fish and Parks

- Department of Game, Fish and Parks Fund
- Parks and Recreation Fund
- Custer State Park Bond Redemption Fund
- Custer State Park Improvement Fund

Governor's Office of Economic Development

- Employer's Investment in South Dakota's Future Fund

Department of Labor and Regulation

- Unemployment Compensation Fund

Department of Health

- Board of Massage Therapy

Audit Reports

The Committee reviewed the South Dakota Single Audit Report and other separately issued audit reports for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

Financial and compliance audits involve testing financial transactions of the state to determine that money is properly accounted for and expended in accordance with state and federal laws and regulations. All audits conducted of state agencies were consolidated and reported in the Single Audit Report. The Single Audit Report includes the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the State of South Dakota prepared by the Bureau of Finance and Management, a schedule showing the federal awards administered by the state and related expenditures, and audit findings and recommendations issued by the Department of Legislative Audit.

The Single Audit Report was issued in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, Government Auditing Standards issued by Comptroller General of the United States, U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, and South Dakota Codified Laws. A copy of this report may be obtained from the Department of Legislative Audit.
The Committee reviewed financial reporting, internal control and compliance deficiencies written on eight state organizations, containing twenty-one recommendations for corrective action. Eleven recommendations related to violations of federal laws and regulations; and, ten recommendations related to inadequate internal control procedures over receipts, revenue collections, expenditures, and financial reporting.

The following represents the state agencies with audit findings and recommendations from fiscal years 2015 and 2014 and the implementation of fiscal year 2014 audit recommendations:

State Agency	Recommendations		
	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2014	FY2014 Imple-mented
Bureau of Finance and Management	2	2	0
Department of Revenue	4	4	2
Soybean Research & Promotion Council	2	1	0
South Dakota Corn Utilization Council	2	3	1
Ellsworth Development Authority	0	1	1
Department of Labor Unemployment Insurance Fund	0	1	1
Board of Regents	0	1	1
Secretary of State	3	0	N/A
Department of Transportation	3	0	N/A
Building Authority	0	1	1
Department of Social Services	2	1	1
Department of Education	3	4	1

N/A This agency did not have any FY2014 audit recommendations.

The Committee had additional questions regarding the Department of Education audit findings for the Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs (GEAR UP). The Department of Legislative Audit explained that final resolution for the audit findings will be determined by the U.S. Department of Education. The Department of Legislative Audit plans to issue a Special Review Report of the Mid Central Educational Cooperative (MCEC) in the near future. In addition, the Board of the MCEC has asked the Department of Legislative Audit to conduct the fiscal year 2015 Single Audit of the cooperative and that separate engagement will be performed in the upcoming months. The Committee plans to revisit this topic as work is completed.

At the last meeting of the interim period, the Department of Legislative Audit provided the Committee a status report on the audit work completed for the fiscal year 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the State of South Dakota and the Single Audit.